Interim Report on City Planning's Proposed Venice Community Plan/Local Coastal Program

July 10, 2024

By

Venice Neighborhood Council's

Community Plan/Local Coastal Program Ad Hoc Committee

Chair: Dr. Naomi Nightingale

Members: Ed Ferrer

Alix Gucovsky Mark Mack Frank Murphy Robin Rudisill Richard Stanger Steve Williams

Table of Contents

A. Background	
City Planning's Proposed StoryMap Land Use Categories	3
Steps in the Review Process	4
What this Report Does Not Cover	5
B. Committee Recommendations: General Policy Statements and	
Subarea Land Use Designations and Zoning Changes	
General Policy Statements	6
Subarea Land Use Changes	8
East Venice	9
Oakwood	11
Milwood	13
Southeast Venice	15
Oxford Triangle	18
Southwest Venice	19
Marina Peninsula (East & West)	21
North Venice	24
Venice Canals	27
Ocean Front Walk	29
Abbot Kinney	31
Appendix – Summary of Public Comments	32

A. Background:

In 2023, City Planning ("Planning") released a set of land use proposals for the Westside areas, including Venice. They were in the form of maps showing specific areas and corridors where residential, commercial and industrial land uses changes were proposed. Accompanying tables indicated the proposed changes in density, height, and Floor Area Ratio (FAR). The Community Plan/Local Coastal Program Ad Hoc Committee ("Committee") spent the first three months of 2024 publicly reviewing these proposals within Venice's 12 subareas. It also distributed a Preference Survey and took Public comments, as detailed in the Committee's Report on the Preference Survey and Public Comments:

https://www.venicenc.org/assets/documents/5/committee6653b6c233b96.pdf

In March 2024, Planning released its "2024 Draft General Plan Land Use StoryMap" (StoryMap), another iteration of the land use proposals it has produced over the past several years and a complete change from any format used in the past. The StoryMap is an interactive land use map that allows anyone to see what Planning proposes for individual parcels, specifically: the existing and proposed land use designations, existing zoning, and proposed density, height, and FAR. These details had not previously been available by lot. The StoryMap format is useful for obtaining information on individual lots but provides only a pixelated picture of what is proposed by neighborhood or subarea, with no summary by subarea or land use designation.

City Planning's Proposed StoryMap Land Use Designation Categories


The Committee has prepared the chart below to simplify and summarize the new land use designation categories. The colors are those used by Planning and the same colors are used throughout this report. The "Notes" section is a summary of the City's descriptions.

DENSITY AND SCALE/HEIGHT FOR EACH NEW CITY PLANNING'S LAND USE CATEGORY

Land Use Name	Density	Scale/Height	Notes		
	Residential:				
Low	1-2 Dwelling Units/Lot	House scale, Very Low Rise: 1-2 stories	Mainly single-family homes		
Low Medium	1-2 Dwelling Units/Lot	House scale, Very Low Rise: 1-2 stories	Duplex to small-scale apartments		
Low Neighborhood	1 Dwelling Unit/1,500 SF of Lot	House scale, Very Low Rise: 1-2 stories	May incorporate corner store, etc., adjacent to commercial		
Medium	1 Dwelling Unit/800 SF of Lot	Very Low Rise to Low Rise: ≤ 3 stories	Larger apartment buildings		
Medium Neighborhood	1 Dwelling Unit/800 SF of Lot	Very Low Rise to Low Rise: ≤ 3 stories	May incorporate corner store, etc., adjacent to commercial		
	Commerci	ial (Mixed-Use):			
Neighborhood Center	800 SF/Living Unit	Very Low Rise to Low Rise: ≤ 3 stories	Includes smaller businesses Pedestrian-oriented		
Village	800 SF/Living Unit	Very Low Rise to Mid-Rise: ≤ 5 stories	Includes restaurants, small offices		
Community Center	400 SF/Living Unit	Low Rise to Mid-Rise: 3 - 5 stories	Broad range of activity Along commercial corridors		
Regional Center	400 SF/ Living Unit	Mid-Rise to High-Rise: 5 - 8 stories	None in Venice		
Other					
Light Industrial	Dwellings Not Allowed	Very Low Rise to Low Rise: ≤ 3 stories	Limited work/live possible		
Hybrid Industrial	400 SF/ Living Unit	Low Rise to Mid-Rise: 3 - 5 stories	Limited residential		

Interpreted by: VNC Community Plan/Local Coastal Program Ad Hoc Committee

CITY PLANNING'S PROPOSED LAND USE MAP – MARCH 2024 (showing subareas used by committee)



> Steps in the Review Process:

The following were the steps taken to review Planning's new March 2024 proposal:

1. Reviewed the *Report on the Preference Survey and Public Comments* for community feedback: The report includes survey results and Public comments for all of Venice and by subarea.

- 2. Translated the StoryMap information into tables that show for each subarea and for each proposed land use designation the following information: Planning's proposed densities, heights, and FARs, and the existing requirements for densities, heights, and FARs. The StoryMap is silent on lot coverage and lot consolidation. Because lot coverage is important in assessing proposed FARs it was added to the tables. Also, because the number of lots that can be consolidated is important to the community, existing and recommended requirements for lot consolidation were added as well.
- 3. Recommended zoning: Each subarea was assigned to 2-3 Committee members who reviewed Planning's new proposals compared to the existing requirements. Each group then prepared a report and made recommendations, which were provided to the Public and the Committee. Over a series of nine public meetings, the Committee provided outreach and received Public input on the subarea reports and recommendations, and the recommendations were approved or modified by the Committee. The end result is the recommendations for land use designations and zoning in this report. These are not cast-in-stone positions but will serve as VNC's response to Planning's March 2024 iteration of its proposed land use designations and zoning. It is the intent of the Committee to meet with Planning staff to review the VNC's recommendations.

What this Report Does Not Cover

This report responds to Planning's proposed land use designations and zoning that are shown in the StoryMap for the Community Plan. There are a number of relevant issues that were not addressed by Planning in the StoryMap and therefore were not addressed by the Committee. However, these issues are very important to the proposed zoning and will need to be addressed by Planning and the Committee at a later date, such as parking requirements and the Housing Element density allocations.

Also, Planning has bypassed a critical step in the Plan process as the update of the Local Coastal Program (LCP) should have been done prior to work on the Community Plan proposals. The LCP is required by state law, the Coastal Act, and therefore its policies and zoning take precedence over the zoning in the City's Community Plan update. This Plan process has been done out of sequence for Venice as Planning neglected to do the LCP update prior to the Community Plan update. Ultimately, the LCP must be finalized before the Venice Community Plan is finalized as all land use designations and zoning must conform with the LCP. Much of what is proposed by Planning in the StoryMap does not conform with the existing 2001 certified Land Use Plan (LUP) and therefore the Community Plan will need to be modified once the LCP is reviewed and the issues in conflict are addressed. In future meetings the Committee will review and provide recommendations regarding the draft LCP. Planning has informed us that the LCP process should begin in autumn 2024.

A major factor not yet considered by Planning is the City's Housing Element and how it relates to the Community Plan and the LCP. Other issues noted in the Preference Survey results and Public Comments phase of the Committee's work that are of interest to the community will be addressed in the future, including required parking spaces by use, roof decks and roof access structures, and roof types/heights (e.g., flat, varied, stepped-back, sloped).

B. Committee Recommendations: General Policy Statements and Subarea Land Use Designations and Zoning Changes

General Policy Statements:

It became clear during the extensive public outreach meetings held by the Committee that there were overriding issues of community concern. Several of these issues are listed below as policy statements, and each policy statement includes the reasons the Committee determined it is important.

Policy Statement 1: Community Plan and Local Coastal Program Sequence

The Venice Neighborhood Council requests that City Planning prepare the Venice Local Coastal Program (LCP) update prior to finalizing Venice's Community Plan policies and any land use designation and zoning changes as the Community Plan must conform with the LCP.

Reasons:

It appears that Coastal Zone policies and other requirements have not been considered in City Planning's current Community Plan proposals. City Planning's intent to determine land use designations and zoning first is in the wrong sequence. The LCP certification is considered the functional equivalent to an Environmental Impact Report (EIR); preparing the LCP first would include the needed requirements in the final Community Plan and would also obviate the need for a separate Venice Coastal EIR.

Policy Statement 2: Venice's Existing Capacity for Growth

The Venice Neighborhood Council requests that prior to finalizing land use designations and zoning for Venice, City Planning prepare a study of Venice's existing zoning capacity to determine what more can be built within its current zoning framework.

Reasons:

Venice is already one of the densest communities in Los Angeles, and a substantial percentage of its land uses is already dedicated to multi-family zones (excluding East Venice). The 2004 Venice Community Plan states that the zoning allows for density for a population of 46,000 by 2010 and the current population is approximately 38,000, so there appears to be substantial existing capacity. Moreover, significant opportunities already exist to increase housing units (density), including adding ADUs, making building to currently allowed density more feasible by decreasing parking requirements, utilizing the state Density Bonus Law, utilizing SB 9, stopping illegal conversion of housing to short term rentals and de facto hotels, proposing regulations to prohibit owners from leaving units vacant, proposing regulations to stop property owners from decreasing density, and enforcing the Home Sharing Ordinance.

Policy Statement 3: Substitute Existing Density Bonus Law for Proposed Bonuses

The Venice Neighborhood Council requests that the zoning code bonuses included in the City Planning recommendations for the Coastal Zone areas of the Venice Community Plan be removed and, instead, the existing state Density Bonus Law be used. The VNC reserves the right to

support, object or recommend changes to the zoning code bonuses in the future when the methodology is eventually explained and if it is decided they can be used in the Coastal Zone.

Reasons:

Without knowing what the unspecified bonuses entail or require, Venice cannot agree with or recommend changes to the proposed bonuses. In the Coastal Zone, the Density Bonus Law would be used, and a Coastal Development Permit (a discretionary decision) is required anyway. The Density Bonus Law permits development "bonuses" in return for providing a specified percentage of total units as affordable housing (as defined in the law—Extremely Low Income, Very Low Income, Low Income, Moderate Income) in a manner that is consistent with both the Density Bonus law and the Coastal Act.

Policy Statement 4: Protecting RSO and Other Affordable Housing Units

The Venice Neighborhood Council requests that prior to finalizing land use designations and zoning for Venice, a report be provided by City Planning identifying all lots in Venice with existing low- and moderate-income housing units, including all RSO/rent stabilized units, so that those units can be protected in the final Community Plan and by other appropriate land use regulatory controls.

Reasons:

While it is important to increase housing unit density in Los Angeles, Venice does not want it to be at the expense of naturally occurring affordable housing units currently occupied by long-term community members who, if displaced for new development, would be unable to afford relocation within Venice or to return when the new development is complete. RSO/rent stabilized units play a crucial role in providing stability, cohesion and socioeconomic diversity in Venice as they safeguard tenants from displacement, exorbitant rent hikes and unjust evictions. The Planning Department's proposed increases in density consequently increase the probability of significantly decreasing RSO/rent stabilized units and other naturally occurring affordable housing and thus displacing existing tenants, which would significantly impact the housing stability of vulnerable community members in Venice. The proposed increases in density also increase the potential for homelessness, especially as the statutory relocation fees do not adequately cover ever-increasing market rate rents, nor do they equitably accommodate larger households.

The Venice Community Plan should not displace community members currently living in older housing stock that is RSO/rent stabilized or otherwise affordable or lower cost housing. In fact, the Los Angeles Housing Element Citywide Housing Priorities states "protect Angelenos-especially persons of color and the disabled--from indirect and direct displacement and ensure stability of existing vulnerable communities."

Venice in its entirety is already a special coastal community with a unique social diversity that the Coastal Act requires be protected, in part because it provides existing coastal housing opportunities for low- and moderate-income persons (sensitive coastal resource area). Housing stability is associated with physical, social, and psychological well-being, higher educational achievement by the young, and benefits for people of color; and RSO/rent-stabilized and other naturally occurring affordable housing play a crucial role in protecting the stability, cohesion and socioeconomic diversity of the Venice community.

Based on the preponderance of community comments and testimony at public outreach meetings, the priority of the Venice <u>Community</u> Plan and LCP update must be the protection of existing RSO/rent stabilized units and other naturally occurring affordable housing, and the preservation of our existing unique, diverse <u>community</u>, which must take precedence, be the priority over, and supersede any desired land use designation and zoning changes.

Policy Statement 5: Need for Infrastructure and Other Analyses

The Venice Neighborhood Council requests that prior to finalizing land use designations and zoning for Venice, City Planning perform analyses of how to protect and increase existing greenspace, the condition of the tree canopy, nature-based solutions for stormwater runoff pollution, and the condition and remaining life of Venice's existing infrastructure and what is needed to replace, upgrade and/or repair it in order to support Venice's current density, as well as an analysis of what is required to support any proposed density increases.

Reasons:

In the current City Planning Community Plan documents there is no analysis of issues, including but not limited to issues related to traffic and infrastructure; rising water table; the poor condition of our water, sewer, gas and electric, and storm drainage systems, declining urban canopy and lack of open space for runoff infiltration; and failing canal tidal gates. Venice has experienced significant breakdowns of its infrastructure, including sink holes, sewage plant overflows contaminating our ocean and beach, water main breakages, and overflowing storm drains contaminating our streets, ocean and beach. Moreover, Venice's infrastructure is especially impacted, more than non-Coastal Zone areas, by significant tourism.

Subarea Land Use Designation and Zoning Changes

After initially obtaining a sense of the preferences of Venetians through a survey and series of public meetings, and after reviewing Planning's new March 2024 proposed land use designations and zoning with the Public, the Committee recommends the zoning shown in RED in the following subarea charts.

Legend for subarea charts:


Blue: City Planning Proposed Zoning

Green: Existing Requirement

Red: Recommended Zoning

Zoning Code Bonuses—Items in the charts below for the City Planning Proposed Zoning (in blue) that are in parentheses () relate to a future zoning code bonus program for which City Planning has not yet provided the parameters. As noted in Policy Statement 3. above, we have indicated (no bonus) in our recommendations as the state Density Bonus law should be used in the Coastal Zone (we made an exception for the west side of Lincoln Blvd, where we have provided some recommendations and for East Venice, which is not in the Coastal Zone).

▶ East Venice: City Planning's Proposed Land Uses



	East Venice—Residential			
City Planning Proposed Land Use Designations	Low Residential	Low Medium Residential (north of Venice Blvd along Penmar Ave, Lincoln Place)	Low Medium Residential (south of Venice Blvd along Penmar Ave, Nelrose Ave, Walnut Ave)	
StoryMap Color				
Existing Zoning/Land Use Designation	R1V2 Single Family Low	[Q]RD1.5-1XL [Q]RD2-1XL [Q]RD3-1XL Low Medium II Low Medium I	RD1.5-1 R2-1 Low Medium II Low Medium I	
Density - DU/SF of Lot (excluding ADUs)	1 DU/lot 1 DU/lot 1 DU/lot	1DU/1,500-3,000 SF 1DU/1,500-3,000 SF 1DU/1,500-3,000 SF	1 DU/1,500 SF of lot 2 DU/lot for Walnut Ave 1DU/1,500-3,000 SF 2 DU/lot for Walnut Ave 1DU/1,500-3,000 SF 2 DU/lot for Walnut Ave	
Maximum Height	33' 22'-30' 2 Stories/30'	3 Stories (no bonus) 45' 2 Stories/25'	3 Stories (no bonus) 33' (no bonus) for Walnut Ave 45' 2 Stories/25'	
Floor Area Ratio (FAR)	0.45 0.45-0.55 0.45-0.55	1 (1.5) 3.0 0.9 (1.0)	1 (1.5) 3.0 0.75 (1.0) 0.75 (no bonus) for Walnut Ave	
Lot Coverage	40-50% 40-50%	50%	50%	
Lot Consolidation	0	0	0	

	East Venice	Commercial & Inc	lustrial	
City Planning Proposed Land Use Designations	Villages (Lincoln Blvd except where Community Center)	Villages (lots on Venice Blvd change from proposed Community Center)	Community Center (on Lincoln Blvd at Ross, Ralphs, Smart & Final)	Hybrid Industrial (Lincoln Blvd south of Washington Blvd)
StoryMap Color				
Existing Zoning/Land Use Designation	[Q]C2-1-CDO General Commercial	[Q]R4-1XL High Medium	[Q]C2-1-CDO General Conmercial	[Q]M1-1-CDO Light Industrial
Density - SF/LU	400 SF/LU 400 SF/LU to none * 800 SF/LU	400 SF/LU 400 SF/LU to none * 800 SF/LU	400 SF/LU 400 SF/LU to none * 800 SF/LU	800 SF/LU none ** 800 SF/LU
Maximum Height	3 Stories (5 Stories) Varies 3 Stories/33' (4 Stories/44')	3 Stories (5 Stories) Varies 3 Stories/33' (4 Stories/44') north side Venice Blvd 4 Stories/44' (5 Stories/55') south side of Venice Blvd	3 Stories (8 Stories) Varies 3 Stories/33' (5 Stories/55')	3 Stories (5 Stories) Varies 3 Stories/33' (5 Stories/55')
Floor Area Ratio (FAR)	1.5 (3) n/a 1.25 (1.75)	1.5 (3) n/a 1.25 (1.75)	1.5 (5) n/a 1.25 (2.0)	1.0 (1.5) 1.0 1.0 (1.5)
Lot Coverage	60%	60%	50%	60%
Lot Consolidation ***	3	3	3	3


^{*} In C2: same as R4 Zone for residential uses at lowest residential story; otherwise none.

Land Use Designation Changes:
Proposed Community Center: Change lots on Venice Blvd to Villages Land Use Designation.

^{**} In M1: none for industrial or commercial uses; same as R4 for residential uses

^{*** 3} lots only with the same commercial zoning

➤ Oakwood: City Planning's Proposed Land Uses



Oakwood–Residential			
City Planning Proposed Land Use Designations	Low Medium Residential (north of Rose Ave)	Low Medium Residential (south of Rose Ave change from proposed Medium Residential back to Low Medium Residential)	
StoryMap Color			
Existing Zoning/ Land Use Designation	RD2-1 MFR-Low Medium II	RD1.5-1 MFR-Low Medium II	
Density - DU/SF of Lot (excluding ADUs)		1 DU/800 SF of lot 2 DU (1 DU/1,500 SF if lot ≥ 4,000 SF) 2 DU (1 DU/1,500 SF if lot ≥ 4,000 SF)	
Maximum Height	3 Stories (no bonus) 25' flat roof, 30' varied or stepped back roofline 2 Stories /25' flat roof, 30' varied or stepped back roofline (no bonus)	3 Stories (no bonus) 25' flat roof, 30' varied or stepped back roofline 2 Stories /25' flat roof, 30' varied or stepped back roofline (no bonus)	
Floor Area Ratio (FAR)	1 (1.5) n/a 0.75 for single-family (no bonus) 1.0 for multi-family (no bonus)	1 (1.5) n/a 0.75 for single-family (no bonus) 1.0 for multi-family (no bonus)	
Lot Coverage	40%	40%	
Lot Consolidation	3 2 only if multi-family project (ADU not a MF unit)	3 2 only if multi-family project (ADU not a MF unit)	

		Oakwood-Commo	ercial & Industrial		
City Planning Proposed Land Use Designations	Neighborhood Center (along Rose Ave)	Villages (along Lincoln Blvd)	Community Center (Lincoln Blvd north of Rose Ave)	Light Industrial (Hampton Dr at Sunset Ave)	Hybrid Industrial (southwest of 4th Ave & Rose Ave)
StoryMap Color					
Existing Zoning/ Land Use Designation	C4-1, R3-1, M1-1 Community Commercial	[Q]C2-1-CDO General Commercial	[Q]C2-1-CDO Neighborhood Commercial	M1-1 Light Industrial	CM-1, [T][Q]CM-1, M1-1 Light Industrial
Density - SF/LU	800 SF/LU 800-1,200 SF of lot area 800-1,200 SF of lot area	400 SF/LU n/a 400 SF/LU	400 SF/LU n/a 800 SF/LU	LUs not allowed n/a no living units, artist work/live as allowed by existing code	800 SF/LU n/a 800 SF/LU
Maximum Height	3 Stories (5 Stories) 25' flat roof 30' varied or stepped back roofline 25' flat roof 30' varied or stepped back roofline (no bonus)	3 Stories (5 Stories) 25' flat roof 30' varied or stepped back roofline 3 Stories/33' (5 Stories/55') *	3 Stories (8 Stories) 25' flat roof 30' varied or stepped back roofline 3 Stories/33' (5 Stories/55') *	3 Stories (5 Stories) 25' flat roof 30' varied or stepped back roofline 3 Storie/33' (no bonus)	3 Stories (5 Stories) 25' flat roof 30' varied or stepped back roofline 3 Stories/33' (no bonus)
Floor Area Ratio (FAR)	1.5 (3) 1.0 commercial only 1.5 residential/commercial 1.0 commercial only 1.5 residential/commercial (no bonus)	1.5 (3) n/a 1.25 (2.0)	1.5 (5) n/a 1.25 (2.0)	1.5 (3) n/a 1.25 (no bonus)	1.5 (3) n/a 1.25 (no bonus)
Lot Coverage	60% with increased buildable area not permitted	60% with increased buildable area not permitted	60% with increased buildable area not permitted	60% with increased buildable area not permitted	60% with increased buildable area not permitted
Lot Consolidation	2 (>2 if conform to MSC) 2 (>2 if conform to MSC)		2 (>2 if conform to MSC) 2 (>2 if conform to MSC)	,	

Land Use Designation Changes:

Proposed Medium Residential: Change RD1.5 south of Rose Ave to Low Medium Residential Land Use Designation (existing).

* stepped-back transition heights as required adjacent to RD1.5, RD2 zoned properties, no roof decks when adjacent to Low Medium Residential

Public Facilities: (Oakwood Park and two blocks to the east)

- Maintain Oakwood Park as Open Space (no change to Public Facilities)
- Maintain residential blocks east of Oakwood Park along Oakwood Ave and Pleasant View Ave between Broadway and California Ave as Low Medium Residential (no change to Public Facilities)

Affordable Housing Sources:

- First Baptist Church site—low and very low-income units behind and across the street from the church, historic preservation/restoration and use of the church for community space
- Extend contract for fifteen Section 8 buildings to original low-income status

➤ Milwood: City Planning's Proposed Land Uses



	Milwood-Residential			
City Planning Proposed Land Use Designations	Low Medium Residential	Medium Residential (for Venice Blvd fronting lots, from Orson Bean Way (by the theater) to the east side of Brenta Place, change from proposed Neighborhood Center)		
StoryMap Color				
Existing Zoning/Land Use Designation	R2-1/Low Medium I Residential RD1.5-1/Low Medium II Residential	R2-1/Low Medium 1 Residential R3-1/Medium Residential		
Density - DU/SF of Lot (excluding ADUs)	1 DU/1,200 SF of lot 2 DU (1 DU/2,500 SF if lot ≥5,000 SF for R2), (1 DU/1,500 SF if lot ≥ 4,000 SF for RD1.5-1) 2 DU (1 DU/2,500 SF if lot ≥5,000 SF for R2); (1 DU/1,500 SF if lot ≥4,000 SF for RD1.5-1)	800 SF/LU 2 DU (1 DU/2,500 SF if lot ≥5,000 SF) 2 DU (1 DU/1,200 SF) 1 DU/1,200 SF of lot		
Maximum Height	3 Stories (no bonus) 25' flat roof, 30' varied or stepped back roofline 28' along Walk streets 2 Stories (no bonus)/25' flat roof, 30' varied or stepped back roofline 28' along Walk streets	3 Stories (5 Stories) 25' flat roof, 30' varied or stepped back roofline 3 Stories/33' (no bonus)		
Floor Area Ratio (FAR)	1 (1.25) n/a 0.8 (no bonus)	1.5 (3) n/a 1.0 (no bonus)		
Lot Coverage	45%	50%		
Lot Consolidation	2 2	2 2		

Milwood—Commercial & Public Facilities			
City Planning Proposed Land Use Designations	Neighborhood Center (along Lincoln Blvd change from proposed Villages)	Public Facilities	
StoryMap Color			
Existing Zoning/Land Use Designation	C2-1, C4-1, [Q]C2-1-CDO General Commercial	PF Public Facilities	
Density - SF/LU	800 SF/LU 800-1,200 SF/LU 800 SF/LU	Not permitted Not permitted Not permitted	
Maximum Height 3 Stories (5 Stories) 25' flat roof, 30' varied or stepped back roofline 3 Stories/33' (no bonus)		No Limit 25' flat roof, 30' varied or stepped back roofline 25' flat roof, 30' varied or stepped back roofline	
Floor Area Ratio (FAR) 1.5 (3) n/a 1.5 (no bonus)		1.5 (no bonus) n/a 1.5 (no bonus)	
Lot Coverage	65%		
Lot Consolidation	2 (>2 if conform to MSC)2 (>2 if conform to MSC)		

Land Use Designation Changes:


Proposed Villages: Change lots along Lincoln Blvd to Neighborhood Center Land Use Designation.

Proposed Neighborhood Center: Change lots along the north side of Venice Blvd, from Orson Bean Way (by the theater) to the east side of Brenta Place, only for the Venice Blvd facing lots, to Medium Residential Land Use Designation, and change the remaining lots not facing Venice Blvd to Low Medium Residential Land Use Designation (to conform with the current Housing Element proposal).

Other (may be errors):

- Maintain the lots along California Ave, between Electric Court and Lincoln Court, at Low Medium II Residential/RD1.5-1 (no change to Low Medium Residential).
- Maintain the three lots at the Vera Davis Center, at Electric Ave and California Ave, as Public Facilities (no change to Low Medium Residential).
- Maintain the four lots adjacent to the Vera Davis Center, on Electric Ave near California Ave as Low Medium I Residential/RD3-1 (no change to Low Medium Residential).
- Maintain the five lots on Oakwood Ave near Orson Bean Way (by the theater) as Low Medium Residential/R2-1 (no change to Public Facilities).

> Southeast Venice: City Planning Proposed Land Uses



Southeast Venice—Residential			
City Planning Proposed Land Use Designations	Low Medium Residential	Medium Residential (along Abbot Kinney Blvd, and on south side of Harrison Ave change from proposed Community Center)	Medium Residential (for Venice Blvd fronting lots, from Shell Ave to Naples Ave, change from proposed Neighborhood Center)
StoryMap Color			
Existing Zoning/Land Use Designation	R2-1/Low Medium I Residential Low Medium II Residential	R3-1 Medium Residential	R3-1 Medium Residential
Density - DU/SF of Lot (excluding ADUs)	4 DU 2 DU (1 DU/2,500 SF if lot ≥ 5,000 SF) 2 DU (1 DU/1,500 SF if lot ≥ 4,000 SF) 2 DU (1 DU/2,500 SF if lot ≥ 5,000 SF) 2 DU (1 DU/1,500 SF if lot ≥ 4,000 SF)	1 DU/800 SF of lot 2 DU (1 DU/1,200 SF of lot) 1 DU/1,200 SF of lot	800 SF/LU 2 DU (1 DU/1,200 SF of lot) 1 DU/1,200 SF of lot
Maximum Height	3 Stories (no bonus) 25' flat roof, 30' varied or stepped back roofline 2 Stories (no bonus)/25' flat roof, 30' varied or stepped back roofline	3 Stories (6 Stories) 25' flat roof, 30' varied or stepped back roofline 3 Stories/33' (no bonus)	3 Stories (5 Stories) 25' flat roof, 30' varied or stepped back roofline 3 Stories/33' (no bonus)
Floor Area Ratio (FAR)	1 (1.25) n/a 0.8 (no bonus)	1.5 (3) n/a 1.0 (no bonus)	1.5 (3) n/a 1.0 (no bonus)
Lot Coverage	45%	50%	50%
Lot Consolidation	2 2	2 2	2 2

Se	Southeast Venice-Commercial & Industrial				
City Planning Proposed Land Use Designations	Neighborhood Center (along Washington Blvd change from proposed Community Center, and along Lincoln Blvd change from proposed Villages)		Hybrid Industrial		
StoryMap Color					
Existing Zoning/Land Use Designation	C2-1/General Commercial	M1-1-O/Limited Industrial	M1-1/Limited Manufacturing M1-1-O/Limited Industrial		
Density - SF/LU	800 SF/LU 800-1,200 SF/LU 800 SF/LU	Not permitted n/a Not permitted	800-1,200 SF/LU n/a Not permitted		
Maximum Height	3 Stories (5 Stories) 25' flat roof 30' varied or stepped back roofline 3 Stories/33' (no bonus)	No Limit 25' flat roof, 30' varied or stepped back roofline 25' flat roof, 30' varied or stepped back roofline	3 Stories (5 Stories) 25' flat roof, 30' varied or stepped back roofline 25' flat roof, 30' varied or stepped back roofline		
Floor Area Ratio (FAR)	1.5 (3) n/a 1.5 (no bonus)	1.5 (3) n/a 1.0 (no bonus)	1.5 (3) n/a 1.0 (no bonus)		
Lot Coverage	65%	65%	65%		
Lot Consolidation	2 (>2 if conform to MSC) 2 (>2 if conform to MSC)	2 2	2 2		

Southeast Venice—Public Facilities & Open Space			
City Planning Proposed Land Use Designations	Public Facilities	Open Space (along center of Venice Blvd change from proposed Public Facilities)	
StoryMap Color			
Existing Zoning/Land Use Designation	[Q]PF-1XL/Public Facilities	OS-1XL-O/Open Space	
Density - SF/LU	Not permitted n/a Not permitted	Not permitted n/a Not permitted	
Maximum Height	No Limit 25' flat roof, 30' varied or stepped back roofline 25' flat roof, 30' varied or stepped back roofline	No Limit n/a n/a	
Floor Area Ratio (FAR)	1.5 (no bonus) n/a 1.5 (no bonus)	1.5 (no bonus) n/a n <mark>/</mark> a	
Lot Coverage	n/a	n/a	
Lot Consolidation	n/a	n/a	

Land Use Designation Changes:


Proposed Villages: Change along Lincoln Blvd to Neighborhood Center Land Use Designation.
Proposed Community Center: Change south side of Harrison Ave to Medium Residential Land Use Designation; and change along Washington Blvd to Neighborhood Center Land Use Designation.
Proposed Neighborhood Center: Change lots along the south side of Venice Blvd, from Shell Ave to Naples Ave, only for the Venice Blvd facing lots, to Medium Residential Land Use Designation; and change the remaining lots not facing Venice Blvd to Low Medium Residential Land Use Designation. (to conform with the current Housing Element proposal).

Proposed Public Facilities: Change center of Venice Blvd to Open Space Land Use Designation.

Other (may be errors):

 Maintain Venezia Ave between Zena Place and Venice Blvd as Medium Residential/R3 (no change to Industrial).

> Oxford Triangle: City Planning's Proposed Land Uses




Oxford Triangle				
Residential		Commercial		
City Planning Proposed Land Use Designations	Low Residential	Neighborhood Center (along Washington Blvd and on Lincoln Blvd to Maxella Ave change from proposed Community Center)	Community Center (north of Princeton Drive)	Community Center (south of Princeton Drive)
StoryMap Color				
Existing Zoning/Land Use Designation	R1-1 SFR-Low	C2-1 General Commercial Community Commercial	C4(OX)-2D Community Commercial	C4(OX)-2D Community Commercial
Density - DU/SF of Lot (excluding ADUs)	1 DU/lot 1 DU/lot 1 DU/lot	400 SF/LU None 50% 400 SF/LU 50% > 400 SF/LU	800 SF/LU Oxford Triangle Specific Plan Oxford Triangle Specific Plan	Limited by Floor Area Oxford Triangle Specific Plan Oxford Triangle Specific Plan
Maximum Height:	33' 25' flat roof, 30' varied or stepped back roofline 25' flat roof, 30' varied or stepped back roofline	3 Stories (8 Stories) 30' 3 Stories/33' (no bonus on Washington Blvd) (4 Stories/44' on Lincoln Blvd)	3 Stories (6 Stories) Oxford Triangle Specific Plan Oxford Triangle Specific Plan	No Limit (No Limit) Oxford Triangle Specific Plan Oxford Triangle Specific Plan
Floor Area Ratio (FAR):	0.45 n/a 0.55	1.5 (5) 1.0 commercial only, 1.5 residential/commercial 1.25 (no bonus on Washington Blvd) (2.0 on Lincoln Blvd)	1.5 (4) Oxford Triangle Specific Plan Oxford Triangle Specific Plan	1.5 (6) Oxford Triangle Specific Plan Oxford Triangle Specific Plan
Lot Coverage:	40%	60%	60%	60%
Lot Consolidation:	0	2	Oxford Triangle Specific Plan	Oxford Triangle Specific Plan

Land Use Designation Changes:

Proposed Community Center: Change along Washington Blvd and on Lincoln Blvd to Maxella Ave to Neighborhood Center Land Use Designation.

Southwest Venice: City Planning's Proposed Land Uses



	Southwest Venice–Residential					
City Planning Proposed Land Use Designations	Low Residential	Low Medium Residential	Low Medium Residential (east of Bryan Ave)	Medium Residential (along Abbot Kinney Blvd & Washington Way)	Medium Neighborhood Residential (along Venice Blvd)	
StoryMap Color						
Existing Zoning/Land Use Designation	R1-1-O, R1-1-O SFR-Low	RD1.5-1-O, RD3-1-O MFR-Low Medium I MFR-Low Medium II	R2-1 MFR-Low Medium I	RD1.5-1-O, RD3-1-O MFR-Medium	R3-1-O MFR-Medium	
Density - DU/SF of Lot (excluding ADUs)	1 DU/lot 1 DU/lot 1 DU/lot	1 DU/1,500 SF of lot 2 DU/lot 1 DU/1,500 SF of lot	2 DU 2 DU/lot 1 DU/1,500 SF of lot	1 DU/400 SF of lot 2 DU/lot 4 DU/lot	1 DU/800 SF of lot 2 DU/lot 2 DU/lot	
Maximum Height	33' 25' flat roof, 30' varied or stepped back roofline 2 Stories/ 25' flat roof, 30' varied or stepped back roofline	3 Stories (no bonus) 25' flat roof, 30' varied or stepped back roofline 25' flat roof, 30' varied or stepped back roofline	varied or stepped back roofline	3 Stories (4 Stories) 25' flat roof, 30' varied or stepped back roofline 3 Stories/33' (no bonus)	3 Stories (6 Stories) 25' flat roof, 30' varied or stepped back roofline 25' flat roof, 30' varied or stepped back roofline (no bonus)	
Floor Area Ratio (FAR)	0.75 n/a 0.55 (BMO)	1.0 (1.5) n/a 1.0 (no bonus)	0.75 n/a 1.0 (no bonus)	1.25 (1.75) n/a 1.25 (no bonus)	1.25 (1.75) n/a 0.65 (no bonus)	
Lot Coverage	55%	50%	60%	60%	60%	
Lot Consolidation	2 0	2 2 (if for MFR)	2 2 (if for MFR)	2 2 (if for MFR)	2 2 (if for MFR)	


South	Southwest Venice—Commercial				
City Planning Proposed Land Use Designations	Villages (along Abbot Kinney Blvd and part of Mildred Ave, and along Washington Blvd change from proposed Community Center)				
StoryMap Color					
Existing Zoning/Land Use Designation	C2-1-O, C2, C4, R3-1-O Neighborhood Commercial Community Commercial General Commercial				
Density - SF/LU	400 SF/LU 1 DU/800-1,200 SF of lot 50% 400 SF/LU 50% > 400 SF/LU				
Maximum Height	3 Stories (5 Stories) 25' flat roof, 30' varied or stepped back roofline 3 Stories/33' (no bonus)				
Floor Area Ratio (FAR)	1.5 (3) n/a 1.25 (no bonus)				
Lot Coverage	60%				
Lot Consolidation	2 (>2 if conforms to MSC)				

Land Use Designation Changes:

Proposed Villages: Change lots that contain condominiums along Mildred Ave to Low Medium Residential Land Use Designation.

Proposed Community Center: Change along Washington Blvd to Villages Land Use Designation.

➤ Marina Peninsula: City Planning's Proposed Land Uses



Marina Peninsula— Residential West						
City Planning Proposed Land Use Designations	Low Medium Residential (West Lagoon front between Topsail St & Via Marina)	Low Medium Residential (North Lagoon front between Driftwood St & Hurricane St)	Medium Residential (Marina Peninsula West)			
StoryMap Color						
Existing Zoning/Land Use Designation	RW1-1 SF Low Medium I	RW2-1 MF Low Medium II	R3-1 MF Low Medium II			
Density -/DU/SF of lot (excluding ADUs)	1 DU/1,500 SF of lot 1 DU/3,000 SF of lot 1 DU/3,000 SF of lot	2 DU/lot 1 DU/1,500 SF of lot 1 DU/1,500 SF of lot	1 DU/800 SF of lot 2 DU (1 DU/1,200 SF if lot ≥4,000 SF) 2 DU (1 DU/1,200 SF if lot ≥4,000 SF)			
Maximum Height	3 Stories (none) 30' to 38' * 30' to 38' *	33' (none) 30' to 38' * 30' to 38' *	2 Stories (4 Stories) 35' 28' on walk streets 35' 28' on walk streets (no bonus)			
Floor Area Ratio (FAR)	1.0 (1.5) n/a 1.0 (no bonus)	0.75 (none) n/a 1.0 (no bonus)	1.5 (2.5) n/a 1.5 (no bonus)			
Lot Coverage	65%	65%	65%			
Lot Consolidation	3 0	3 0	3 3			


Marina Peninsula—Residential East					
City Planning Proposed Land Use Designations	Low Residential (Silver Strand)	Low Medium Residential (Silver Strand Lagoon Front)	Medium Residential (along Via Marina)		
StoryMap Color					
Existing Zoning/Land Use Designation	R1-1 SF Low Medium I	[T][Q]RD1.5-1 SF Low Medium I	R3 MF Medium		
Density - DU/SF of Lot (excluding ADUs)	1 DU/lot 1 DU/3,000 SF of lot 1 DU/3,000 SF of lot	1 DU/1,500 SF of lot 1 DU/4,000 SF of lot 1 DU/4,000 SF of lot	1 DU/800 SF of lot 1 DU/800-1,200 SF of lot 1 DU/1,200 SF of lot		
Maximum Height	36' 45' 36'	3 Stories (none) 30' to 45' * 30' to 36' *	2 Stories (4 Stories) 45' 45' (no bonus)		
Floor Area Ratio (FAR)	1.0 n/a 1.0	1.0 (1.25) n/a 1.0 (no bonus)	1.5 (2.5) n/a 1.5 (no bonus)		
Lot Coverage	65%	65%	65%		
Lot Consolidation	3 0	3 0	3 3		

^{* 30&#}x27; within 60 horizontal feet of the mean high tide line of the lagoon or inland side of the Esplanade, whichever is furthest from the water. Beyond 60 horizontal feet, one foot in additional height is permitted for each two additional horizontal feet to maximum height indicated.

	Marina Peninsula—Commercial					
City Planning Proposed Land Use Designations	Neighborhood Center (Washington Square)	Community Center (behind lots fronting Washington Blvd between Grand Canal & Via Dolce)	Community Center (lots fronting Washington Blvd)			
StoryMap Color						
Existing Zoning/Land Use Designation	C-1, C4 Community Commercial	C4 Community Commercial	C4 Community Commercial			
Density - SF/LU	800 SF/LU 1 LU/800-1,200 SF of lot 1 LU/1,200 SF lot	400 SF/LU 1 LU/800-1,200 SF of lot 1 LU/1,200 SF lot	400 SF/LU 1 LU/800-1,200 SF of lot 1 LU/1,200 SF lot			
Maximum Height	3 Stories (5 Stories) 35' 3 Stories/33' (no bonus)	3 Stories (6 Stories) 35' 3 Stories/33' (no bonus)	3 Stories (6 Stories) 35' 3 Stories/33' (no bonus)			
Floor Area Ratio (FAR)	1.5 (3.0) 1.0 commercial only 1.5 residential/commercial 1.0 commercial only 1.5 residential/commercial (no bonus)	1.5 (4.0) 1.0 commercial only 1.5 residential/commercial 1.0 commercial only 1.5 residential/commercial (no bonus)	1.5 (4.0) 1.0 commercial only 1.5 residential/commercial 1.0 commercial only 1.5 residential/commercial (no bonus)			
Lot Coverage	90%	90%	90%			
Lot Consolidation	2 (>2 if conform to MSC) 2 (>2 if conform to MSC)	2 (>2 if conform to MSC) 2 (>2 if conform to MSC)	2 (>2 if conform to MSC) 2 (>2 if conform to MSC)			

- No density bonus projects in this subarea. No residential uses changing to commercial uses.
- No waivers of infrastructure improvements for developers.

> North Venice: City Planning's Proposed Land Uses



	North VeniceResidential					
City Planning Proposed Land Use Designations	Low Medium Residential (bulk of North Venice)	Low Medium Residential (block between Strongs Dr & Canal St, between N. Venice Blvd & Mildred Ave change from proposed Low Neighborhood Residential)	Medium Residential (along Mildred Ave & N. Venice Blvd)	Medium Residential (various blocks north of Sunset Ave, 18th to 20th Ave blocks)	Low Medium Residential (block between Pacific Ave & Strongs Dr, between N. Venice Blvd & Mildred Ave change from proposed Medium Neighborhood Residential)	
StoryMap Color						
Existing Zoning/Land Use Designation	RD1.5-1, RD1.5-1-O Low Medium II MFR	RD1.5-1-O, R3-1-O Low Medium II MFR Medium MFR	R3-1-O Medium MFR	R3-1, R3-1-O Medium MFR	R3-1-O Medium MFR	
Density - DU/SF of Lot (excluding ADUs)	1 DU/1,500 SF of lot 2 DU (1 DU/2,000 if lot ≥4,000) 2 DU (1 DU/2,000 if lot ≥4,000)	1 DU/1,500 SF of lot 2 DU (1 DU/2,000 if lot ≥ 4,000) 2 DU (1 DU/2,000 if lot ≥ 4,000)	≥4,000)	1 DU/800 SF of lot 2 DU (1 DU/1,200 if lot ≥4,000) 2 DU (1 DU/1,200 if lot ≥4,000)	1 DU/800 SF of lot 2 DU (1 DU/1,200 if lot ≥4,000) 2 DU (1 DU/2,000 if lot ≥4,000)	
Maximum Height	3 Stories (no bonus) 30' flat roof, 35' varied or stepped back roofline 28' along Walk Streets 3 Stories/30' flat roof, 35' varied or stepped back roofline 28' along Walk Streets (no bonus)	3 Stories (no bonus) 30' flat roof, 35' varied or stepped back roofline 3 Stories/30' flat roof, 35' varied or stepped back roofline (no bonus)	3 Stories (4 Stories) 30' flat roof, 35' varied or stepped back roofline 3 Stories 30' flat roof, 35' varied or stepped back roofline (no bonus)	3 Stories (no bonus) 30' flat roof, 35' varied or stepped back roofline 28' along Walk Streets 3 Stories/30' flat roof, 35' varied or stepped back roofline 28' along Walk Streets (no bonus)	3 Stories (no bonus) 30' flat roof, 35' varied or stepped back roofline 3 Stories/30' flat roof, 35' varied or stepped back roofline (no bonus)	
Floor Area Ratio (FAR)	1 (1.5) n/a 0.75 (no bonus)	1 (1.5) n/a 0.75 (no bonus)	1.25 (1.75) n/a 1.25 (no bonus)	1 (1.5) n/a 1.25 (no bonus)	1 (1.5) n/a 0.75 (no bonus)	
Lot Coverage	50%, increased buildable area not permitted	60%, increased buildable area not permitted	60%, increased buildable area not permitted	60%, increased buildable area not permitted	60%, increased buildable area not permitted	
Lot Consolidation	2 2 only if multi-family project (ADU not a MF unit)	2 2 only if multi-family project (ADU not a MF unit)	2 2 only if multi-family project (ADU not a MF unit)	2 2 only if multi-family project (ADU not a MF unit)	2 2 only if multi-family project (ADU not a MF unit)	

Land Use Designation Changes:

Proposed Low Neighborhood Residential: Change block between Strongs Dr & Canal St., between N. Venice Blvd & Mildred Ave to Low Medium Residential Land Use Designation.


Proposed Medium Neighborhood Residential: Change block between Pacific Ave & Strongs Dr, between N. Venice Blvd & Mildred Ave to Low Medium Residential Land Use Designation.

Proposed Hybrid Industrial: Change along Main St just north of Abbot Kinney Blvd to Neighborhood Center Land Use Designation; and change Market St between Pacific Ave & Speedway to Light Industrial Land Use Designation (no living units).

	North VeniceCommercial & Industrial					
City Planning Proposed Land Use Designations	Neighborhood Center (along Main St north of Abbot Kinney Blvd, and those proposed Neighborhood Center properties south of Windward Ave except MTA Site & Venice Median Site)	Neighborhood Center (between Pacific Ave & Main St, Market St & Westminster Ave)	Community Center (near Windward Circle) Note: Any development must preserve/replace historic character of Windward Ave including its arches and provide enhanced pedestrian amenities.	Neighborhood Center (along Main St just north of Abbot Kinney Blvd change from proposed Hybrid Industrial)	Light Industrial (on Market St between Pacific Ave & Speedway change from proposed Hybrid Industrial)	
StoryMap Color						
Existing Zoning/Land Use Designation	C4-1, OS-1XL-O, [T][Q]C1.5-1-O-CA, M1-1, [Q] C1-1-O, [Q]C4-2D, [Q]C2-1 Open Space Limited Industry Neighborhood Commercial Artcraft Low Medium II MFR Medium MFR	C4-1, C1-1, RD1.5-1, R3-1, C2-1-O Community Commercial Neighborhood Commercial General Commercial Low Medium II MFR	C2-1-O, R3-1-O, C2-1-CA, [T][Q]C2-1-O-CA Community Commercial Artcraft	M1-1 Limited Industry	CM-1 Limited Industry	
Density - SF/LU	800 SF/LU 2 LU (1 LU/1,200 SF if lot ≥4,000 SF) 2 LU (1 LU/1,200 SF if lot ≥4,000 SF)	800 SF/LU 2 LU (1 LU/1,200 SF if lot ≥4,000 SF) 2 LU (1 LU/1,200 SF if lot ≥4,000 SF)	400 SF/LU 1 LU/800-1,200 SF of lot 1 LU/800-1,200 SF of lot	800 SF/LU 2 LU (1 LU/1,200 SF if lot ≥4,000 SF) 2 LU (1 LU/1,200 SF if lot ≥4,000 SF)	allowed by code	
Maximum Height	3 Stories (5 Stories) 30' flat roof, 35' varied or stepped back roofline 3 Stories 30' flat roof, 35' varied or stepped back roofline (no bonus)	2 Stories (4 Stories) 30' flat roof, 35' varied or stepped back roofline 2 Stories 30' flat roof, 35' varied or stepped back roofline (no bonus)	3 Stories (5 Stories) 30' flat roof, 35' varied or stepped back roofline 3 Stories 30' flat roof, 35' varied or stepped back roofline (no bonus)	3 Stories (5 Stories) 30' flat roof, 35' varied or stepped back roofline 3 Stories 30' flat roof, 35' varied or stepped back roofline (no bonus)	3 Stories (5 Stories) 30' flat roof, 35' varied or stepped back roofline 3 Stories 30' flat roof, 35' varied or stepped back roofline (no bonus)	
Floor Area Ratio (FAR)	1.5 (3) 1.0 commercial only 1.5 residential/commercial 1.25 (no bonus)	1.5 (2.5) 1.0 commercial only 1.5 residential/commercial 0.75 (no bonus)	1.5 (5) 1.0 commercial only 1.5 residential/commercial 1.25 (no bonus)	1 (1.5) 1.0 commercial only 1.5 residential/commercial 1.25 (no bonus)	1 (1.5) 1.0 commercial only 1.5 residential/commercial 1.25 (no bonus)	
Lot Coverage	60%, 10' front yard setback, increased buildable area not permitted	60%, 10' front yard setback, increased buildable area not permitted	60%, 10' front yard setback, increased buildable area not permitted	60%, 10' front yard setback, increased buildable area not permitted	60%	
Lot Consolidation	2 (>2 if conform to MSC) 2 (>2 if conform to MSC)	2 (>2 if conform to MSC) 2 (>2 if conform to MSC)	2 (>2 if conform to MSC) 2 (>2 if conform to MSC)	,	,	

- MTA Site: 4 stories if 30% or more affordable (with minimum 15% Very Low Income), 3 stories if 25% affordable (with minimum 15% Very Low Income), and façade on all sides must be same height as facing block.
- No changes from residential to commercial zoning for any lots, and 100% residential use must be maintained/preserved as residential even if the lot is zoned commercial.
- Storage Use Site on Rose Ave: consider replacing it with affordable housing.
- USPO Site: Change to Medium Residential or other non-commercial land use designation.
- Windward Circle Island: Change to Open Space.
- Venice Median Site: expand beach parking supply as per certified Land Use Plan Policy II.A.2. by restriping and/or converting to a multi-level structure.
- No TOC projects in North Venice.

Venice Canals: City Planning's Proposed Land Uses



Venice CanalsResidential					
City Planning Proposed Land Use Designations	Low Residential (Venice Canals)	Low Medium Residential (east side of Grand Canal between Sherman Canal Ct & Community Center lots on Washington Blvd)	Medium Residential (along Venice Blvd between Strongs Dr & Dell Ave)	Medium Residential (on Venice Blvd between Dell Ave & Ocean Ave change from proposed Medium Neighborhood Residential)	
StoryMap Colors					
Existing Zoning/Land Use Designation	RW1-1-O Single Family Residential Low Medium I	RW1-1-O Single Family Residential Low Medium I	R3-1-O Multi-Family Residential Medium	R3-1-O Multi-Family Residential Medium	
Density - DU/ SF of Lot (excluding ADUs)	1 DU/lot 1 DU/lot 1 DU/lot	4 DU/lot 1 DU/lot 4 DU/lot	1 DU/800 SF of lot 2 DU (1 DU/1,200 SF if lot ≥4,000 SF) 1 DU/800 SF of lot	1 DU/800 SF of lot 2 DU (1 DU/1,200 SF if lot ≥4,000 SF) 1 DU/800 SF of lot	
Maximum Height	36' (no bonus) 22'-30' 22'-33' (no bonus) *	36' (no bonus) 22'-30' 22'-33' (no bonus) *	3 Stories (4 Stories) 30' flat roof, 35' varied or stepped back roofline 3 Stories/33' (no bonus)	3 Stories (4 Stories) 30' flat roof, 35' varied or stepped back roofline 3 Stories/33' (no bonus)	
Floor Area Ratio (FAR)	1.0 n/a 1.0	1.0 n/a 1.0	1.25 (1.75) n/a 1.25 (no bonus)	1.25 (1.75) n/a 1.25 (no bonus)	
Lot Coverage	60%	60%	60%	60%	
Lot Consolidation	0	0	2 0	2 0	

Venice CanalsCommercial					
City Planning Proposed Land Use Designations	Neighborhood Center (along Washington Blvd north of Sanborn Ave)	Neighborhood Center (lots on northeast corner of Grand Canal & Washington Blvd change from proposed Community Center)			
StoryMap Colors					
Existing Zoning/Land Use Designation	C2-1-O Community Commercial	C2-1-O, R3-1 Community Commercial, SFR-Low			
Density - SF/LU	400 SF/LU 2 DU (1 DU/1,200 SF if lot ≥4,000 SF) 800 SF/LU	400 SF/LU 2 DU (1 DU/1,200 SF if lot ≥4,000 SF) 800 SF/LU			
Maximum Height	3 Stories (5 Stories) 25' flat roof, 30' varied or stepped back roofline 3 Stories/33' (no bonus)	3 Stories (5 Stories) 25' flat roof, 30' varied or stepped back roofline 3 Stories/33' (no bonus) *			
Floor Area Ratio (FAR)	1.5 (3) n/a 1.25 (no bonus)	1.5 (3) n/a 1.25 (no bonus)			
Lot Coverage	60%	60%			
Lot Consolidation	2 (>2 if conform to MSC) 2 (>2 if conform to MSC)	2 (>2 if conform to MSC) 2 (>2 if conform to MSC)			

- * All canal facing lots must also meet the following existing requirements:
 - Height is not to exceed 22 feet for any portion within 10 feet from the canal property line.
 Thereafter, an ascending height equal to one half the horizontal depth from this 10-foot line with a maximum height of 30 feet.
 - O An open, permeable yard of at least 450 square feet for a 30-foot-wide lot, and at least 600 square feet for a 40-foot-wide lot, shall be maintained between the canal property line and the front of any structure. A minimum 10-foot front yard setback, with a required 15-foot setback average, shall provide the required permeable front yard area. No fill nor building extensions, including stairs and balconies, shall be placed in or over the required permeable front yard area, with the exception of 42-inch-high fences or permeable decks at grade (no more than 18" high).

Bureau of Engineering is requested to address issues with water management and canal infrastructure.

Land Use Designation Changes:

Proposed Medium Neighborhood Residential: Change lots on Venice Blvd between Dell Ave & Ocean Ave to Medium Residential Land Use Designation.

Proposed Community Center: Change lots on northeast corner of Grand Canal & Washington Blvd to Neighborhood Center Land Use Designation.

> Ocean Front Walk: City Planning's Proposed Land Uses



	Ocean Front Walk–Residential					
City Planning Proposed Land Use Designations	Low Medium Residential (between S. Venice Blvd & 30th Ave walk street)	Medium Residential (between N. & S. Venice Blvd)	Medium Residential (near Navy St)			
StoryMap Color						
Existing Zoning/Land Use Designation	RD1.5-1-O Multi-family Low Medium II	R3-1-O Medium Residential	R3-1 Medium Residenetial			
Density - DU/SF of Lot (excluding ADUs)	1 DU/1,500 SF of lot 2 DU (1 DU/1,500-2,000 SF if lot ≥4,000 SF) 1 DU/1,500 SF of lot	1 DU/800 SF of lot 2 DU (1 DU/1,200 SF if lot ≥4,000 SF) 1 DU/800 SF of lot	1 DU/800 SF of lot 2 DU (1 DU/1,200 SF if lot ≥4,000 SF) 1 DU/800 SF of lot			
Maximum Height	3 Stories (no bonus) 30' flat roof, 35' varied or stepped back roofline 30' flat roof, 35' varied or stepped back roofline (no bonus)	3 Stories (5 Stories) 30' flat roof, 35' varied or stepped back roofline 30' flat roof, 35' varied or stepped back roofline (no bonus)	3 Stories (no bonus) 30' flat roof, 35' varied or stepped back roofline 30' flat roof, 35' varied or stepped back roofline (no bonus)			
Floor Area Ratio (FAR)	1.0 (1.5) n/a 1.25 (no bonus)	1.0 (3.0) n/a 1.25 (no bonus)	1.0 (1.5) n/a 1.25 (no bonus)			
Lot Coverage	65%	65%	65%			
Lot Consolidation	2 2	2 2	2 2			

	Ocean Front Walk—Commercial					
City Planning Proposed Land Use Designations	Villages	Villages (1 block north & south of Windward)				
StoryMap Color						
Existing Zoning/Land Use Designation	R3, [Q]R3-1, R3-1-O Multi-Family Residential Medium C1-1, [Q]C1-1, [Q]C1-1-O-CA, C1-1-CA, C2-1-CA Community Commercial	C2-1-CA Artcraft				
Density - SF/LU	800 SF/LU 1 LU/800-1,200 SF of lot 800-1,200 SF/LU	800 SF/LU 1 LU/800-1,200 SF of lot 800-1,200 SF/LU				
3 Stories (5 Stories) 30' flat roof, 35' varied or stepped back roofline 30' flat roof, 35' varied or stepped back roofline (no bonus)		3 Stories (6 Stories) 30' flat roof, 35' varied or stepped back roofline 30' flat roof, 35' varied or stepped back roofline (no bonus)				
Floor Area Ratio (FAR) 1.5 (3) 1.0 commercial only, 1.5 residential/commercial 1.0 commercial only, 1.5 residential/commercial (no bonus)		1.5 (3) 1.0 commercial only, 1.5 residential/commercial 1.0 commercial only, 1.5 residential/commercial (no bonus)				
Lot Coverage	90%	90%				
Lot Consolidation	2 (>2 if conform to MSC) 2 (>2 if conform to MSC)	2 (>2 if conform to MSC) 2 (>2 if conform to MSC)				

- No changes from <u>existing</u> residential uses, residential land use designations or residential zoning to commercial.
- Use zoning and other land use and planning tools to encourage the siting of independent retail uses and discourage national chain stores on Ocean Front Walk.

> Abbot Kinney Blvd: City Planning's Proposed Land Uses



Abl	Abbot Kinney Boulevard—Commercial & Industrial					
City Planning Proposed Land Use Designations	Villages	Light Industrial	Hybrid Industrial			
StoryMap Color						
Existing Zoning/Land Use Designation	C2-1-O-CA, C2-CA Community Commercial Artcraft	M1-1-O Limited Industry	M1-1-O Limited Industry			
Density - SF/LU	800 SF/LU 400-800 SF/LU 800 SF/LU	No residential No residential No residential	800 SF/LU No residential except in a CM use 800-1,200 SF/LU			
Maximum Height	3 Stories (5 Stories) 30' flat roof 35' varied or stepped back roofline 30' flat roof 35' varied or stepped back roofline (no bonus)	No Limit 30' flat roof 35' varied or stepped back roofline 30' flat roof 35' varied or stepped back roofline (no bonus)	3 Stories (5 Stories) 30' flat roof 35' varied or stepped back roofline 30' flat roof 35' varied or stepped back roofline (no bonus)			
Floor Area Ratio (FAR)	1.5 (3) 1.0 commercial only 1.5 residential/commercial 1.0 commercial only 1.5 residential/commercial (no bonus)	1.0 (3) 1.0 commercial only 1.5 residential/commercial 1.0 (no bonus)	1.0 (1.5) 1.0 commercial only 1.5 residential/commercial 1.0 commercial only 1.5 residential/commercial (no bonus)			
Lot Coverage	90%	90%	90%			
Lot Consolidation	2 (>2 if conform to MSC) 2 (>2 if conform to MSC)	2 (>2 if conform to MSC) 2 (>2 if conform to MSC)	2 (>2 if conform to MSC) 2 (>2 if conform to MSC)			

Other Recommended Changes:

- No changes from <u>existing</u> residential uses, residential land use designations or residential zoning to commercial.
- Use zoning and other land use and planning tools to encourage the siting of independent retail uses and discourage national chain stores on Abbot Kinney Blvd.

APPENDIX

Interim Report on City Planning's Proposed Venice Community Plan/Local Coastal Program

Summary of Public Comments

There were common themes and concerns in the Public's Comments that were consistent throughout our numerous outreach meetings. The overarching concerns of the community are in the following areas:

- 1. Planning Process Considerations
- 2. Unnecessary/Inadvisable Density
- 3. Environmental/Resiliency Concerns
- 4. Affordability/Diversity
- 5. Parking and Transportation Issues
- 6. Failing Infrastructure
- 7. Preservation of Existing Residential Neighborhoods
- 8. Unnecessary Changes to Commercial Zoning
- 9. Enforcement Needed

Venice is considered to be "The People's Beach," a place that is accessible to all of Los Angeles and the world. Additionally, there is great concern that City Planning's current proposals differ drastically from current coastal zoning that reflects the protections afforded in the Coastal Act and the certified Venice Coastal Land Use Plan. We must understand what the updated Local Coastal Program is going to propose and ensure that it is in harmony with the Community Plan update. Venice has been designated by both the City and the Coastal Commission as one of fourteen special coastal communities that are to be protected as a Coastal Resource as per the Coastal Act, and all Plans must respect and honor that designation.

The report at the link below includes the general talking points covered in each of the above-mentioned areas of concern. This is followed by a breakdown of specific comments for each subarea. And finally, we provide a link to the summaries and transcripts from each of the meetings, for your reference.

https://www.venicenc.org/assets/documents/5/committee6692d466d8ee9.pdf